

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,746	11/15/1999	NILO FAGIOLINI	32232-152197	7109
759	90 11/29/2001			
SPENCER & FRANK 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW SUITE 300 EAST WASHINGTON, DC 200053955		EXAMINER		
			VANOY, TI	мотну с
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 13

DATE MAILED:

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is extended to run 6 MONTHS or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, illed Wov 2, 01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter: (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: THE LIMITATION IN PROPOSED CLAIM 12 " SAID COMPOSITION IS DEVOID  OF SILICA WHICH! (NTERFERES WITH SAID PURIFICATION!" RAISES THE  NEW ISSUE AND QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE COMPOSITION  CONTAINS ANY SILICA DOES THE COMPOSITION CONTAIN SILICA IN  AMOUNTS THAT DO NOT INTERFERE WITH THE PURIFICATION - IF SO, WHAT ARE  Would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. THESE AMOUNTS?
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed: NONE  Claims objected to: 6 ANO (  Claims rejected: 1 - 1
However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  HAS BEEN EVERCOME WITH THE SUBMISSION OF THE NEW OATH.
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because OF THE REASONS OF RECORD.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)